



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,369	03/30/2004	Douglas S. Ransom	6270/139	4719
46260 7590 11/05/2007 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE/PML PO BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			EXAMINER LOUIE, OSCAR A	
			ART UNIT 2136	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/05/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/813,369	RANSOM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Oscar A. Louie	2136	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Oscar A. Louie.

(3) Douglas Oguss.

(2) Nasser Moazzami.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,32 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Davis (US-6118269-A) and Shear et al. (US-6157721-A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed details pertaining to independent Claims 1, 32, & 41. Discussion was made over the broad scope of the claim limitations and the manner in which the prior art of record covers these limitations. Suggestion was made by the examiner, for the applicant to tighten the language of the claims by tying in aspects surrounding tamper prevention and tamper detection, as well as, their associated actions/reporting. It was also suggested for the application to replace the terms "for" and "operative" with "configured to" and omitting the term "substantially" in order to clarify the claim language. The applicant inquired about including a limitation, "an enclosure which surrounds said energy management device," and suggestion was made by the examiner to include aspects of tamper prevention/detection which would provide a clear understanding of the enclosure's functionality. Thus, better encompassing the novelty of the applicant's invention. Applicant's proposed amendments, if made as discussed may overcome the prior art of record and require further search and consideration.

NASSER MOAZZAMI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

  
10/30/07